

EUROPEAN REVIEW OF PRIVATE LAW



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

Published by Kluwer Law International
P.O. Box 316
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn
The Netherlands

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by Aspen Publishers, Inc.	Sold and distributed in all other countries by Turpin Distribution
7201 McKinney Circle	Pegasus Drive
Frederick, MD 21704	Stratton Business Park, Biggleswade
United States of America	Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ
	United Kingdom

ISSN 0928-9801

© 2013, Kluwer Law International

This journal should be cited as (2013) 21 ERPL 3

The *European review of Private Law* is published six times per year.
Subscription prices for 2013 [Volume 21, Numbers 1 through 6] including postage and handling:

Print subscription prices: EUR 684/USD 910/GBP 502

Online subscription prices: EUR 632/USD 844/GBP 465 (covers two concurrent users)

This journal is also available online at www.kluwerlawonline.com.

Sample copies and other information are available at www.kluwerlaw.com.

For further information at please contact our sales department at +31 (0) 172 641562 or at sales@kluwerlaw.com.

For Marketing Opportunities please contact marketing@kluwerlaw.com.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner.

Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th floor,
New York, NY 10011, United States of America.

E-mail: permissions@kluwerlaw.com.

The *European review of Private Law* is indexed/abstracted in the *European Legal Journals Index*.

Printed on acid-free paper

EUROPEAN REVIEW OF PRIVATE LAW
REVUE EUROPÉENNE DE DROIT PRIVÉ
EUROPÄISCHE ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR PRIVATRECHT

Contact

Marie-José van der Heijden, e-mail: erpl@kluwerlaw.com

Editors

E.H. Hondius, *Universiteit Utrecht, Molengraaff Instituut voor Privaatrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.*

M.E. Storme, *Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium*

Editorial Board

W. Cairns, *Manchester Metropolitan University, England, U.K.*; Florence G'Sell-Macrez, *Université Paris 1, France*; J.F. Gerkens, *Université de Liège, Belgium*; A. Janssen, *Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany, and Università di Torino, Italy*; R. Jox, *Katholische Hochschule Nordrhein-Westfalen, Abteilung Köln, Germany*; D.R. MacDonald, *University of Dundee, Scotland, U.K.*; M. Martín-Casals, *Universitat de Girona, Spain*; B. Pozzo, *Università dell'Insubria-Como, Italy*; S. Whittaker, *St. John's College, Oxford University, Oxford, England, U.K.*

Advisory Board

E. Baginska, *Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika, Torun, Poland*; H. Beale, *University of Warwick, England, U.K.*; R. Clark, *Faculty of Law, University College Dublin, Republic of Ireland*; F. Ferrari, *Università degli Studi di Verona, Italy*; A. Gambaro, *Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy*; G. Garcia Cantero, *Departamento de derecho privado, Universidad de Zaragoza, Aragon, Spain*; J. Ghestin, *Université de Paris, France*; M. Hesselink, *Universiteit van Amsterdam, The Netherlands*; C. Jamin, *Université de Lille II, France*; K.D. Kerameus, *Ethniko kai kapodistriako Panepistimio Athinon, Athinai, Greece*; H. Kötz, *Bucerius Law School, Hamburg, Germany*; O. Lando, *Juridisk Institut Handelshojskolen Copenhagen, Denmark*; Kåre Lilleholt, *Universitetet i Oslo, Institutt for privatrett, Oslo, Norway*; B. Lurger, *Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Austria*; H.L. MacQueen, *Department of Scots Law, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, U.K.*; B.S. Markesinis, *University College London, England, U.K./University of Texas, Austin, Texas, U.S.A.*; V. Mikelenas, *Teises Fakultetas, Vilniaus Universiteto, Lithuania*; A. Pinto Monteiro, *Universidade de Coimbra, Faculdade de direito, Portugal*; C. Ramberg, *Göteborgs Universitet, Göteborg, Sweden*; R. Sacco, *Università degli Studi di Torino, Facoltà di Giurisprudenza, Italy*; D. Spielmann, *European Court of Human Rights, Strasbourg, France*; L. Tichy, *Univerzita Karlova, Praha, the Czech Republic*; F. Werro, *Faculté de droit, Université de Fribourg, Switzerland*; T. Wilhelmsson, *Helsingen Yliopisto, Finland.*

Founded in 1992 by Ewoud Hondius and Marcel Storme

ISSN 0928-9801

All Rights Reserved. ©2013 Kluwer Law International

No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilised in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

Typeface ITC Bodoni Twelve

Design Dingoj | Peter Oosterhout, Diemen-Amsterdam

Printed and Bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY.

Debt Management Pays Off!

A Research on the Cost and Benefits of Debt Management in the Netherlands*

NADJA JUNGMANN & MARC ANDERSON**

Abstract: The number of applications for debt management services in the Netherlands shows a steady increase of about 10 per cent each year, over the last few years. Municipalities, responsible for these services, at the same time need to cut back on expenditures. Our research shows that the (social) return on debt management is, on average, twice as high as the costs. These benefits are mainly found in the areas of social welfare and housing. Since debts are a reason for employers not to hire or not to continue employment, debt management increases the chance of (continued) employment and therefore helps reduce costs of unemployment and welfare benefits. Since housing corporations spend large sums of money on evictions, the prevention of evictions through debt management also reduces costs in that area.

The ratio between the costs and benefits is only partly influenced by the quality of execution. Social structure offers a better explanation, where a weaker social structure results in greater benefits. Our findings are based on extensive research of individual files combined with interviews with professionals. Only direct if-then relations were considered. This means that in reality the cost-benefit ratio may even be more favourable. Municipalities should therefore be careful in cutting back on debt management services. On the other hand, cross-linking debt management with welfare payments and co-operating with housing corporations could open up opportunities for co-financing debt management services.

Résumé: Au cours des dernières années, le nombre de demandes adressées aux services de gestion de dettes aux Pays-Bas indique une augmentation constante d'environ 10 pourcent par an. Les municipalités, responsables de ces services, doivent en même temps réduire leurs dépenses. Notre recherche indique que le rendement (social) de la gestion de dettes est environ deux fois plus élevé que les coûts. Ces bénéfices se trouvent principalement dans les domaines de la sécurité sociale et du logement social. Puisque les dettes sont une raison pour les employeurs de ne pas embaucher ou poursuivre un contrat de travail, la gestion de dettes accroît la chance d'un emploi (maintenu) et contribue ainsi à réduire les coûts du chômage et des

* This paper is based on the research of R. Geuns van, N. Jungmann, G. Kruis, P. Calkoen, M. Anderson, *Schuldhelpverlening loont!* Regioplan Beleidsonderzoek/Hogeschool Utrecht, Amsterdam 2011.

** Prof. NADJA JUNGMANN is a Law Professor at the University of Applied Sciences Utrecht in the Netherlands and a Management Consultant at Social Force. MARC ANDERSON works as a Researcher and Teacher at the University of Applied Sciences Utrecht in the Netherlands.

allocations sociales. Comme les entreprises de logements sociaux dépensent beaucoup d'argent en expulsions, la prévention d'expulsions au travers de la gestion de dettes réduit aussi les coûts dans ce domaine.

Le rapport entre les coûts et les bénéfices n'est que partiellement influencé par la qualité de l'exécution. La structure sociale offre une meilleure explication, là où une structure sociale plus faible résulte en des bénéfices plus importants. Nos constatations se basent sur une analyse de nombreux dossiers individuels complétée par des interviews avec des professionnels. Seules des relations directes prémisses-conclusion (si-alors) ont été considérées. Ceci signifie qu'en réalité, le rapport coûts-bénéfices pourrait être encore plus favorable. Les municipalités devraient par conséquent être prudentes en matière de réduction des frais de gestion de dettes. D'autre part, relier la gestion de dettes aux paiements d'allocations sociales et coopérer avec les entreprises de logements sociaux pourrait offrir des opportunités pour le co-financement des services de gestion de dettes.

Zusammenfassung: In den Niederlanden nahm die Anzahl der Anträge im Bereich Schuldenmanagementserviceleistungen über die letzten Jahre beständig um ca. 10 Prozent jährlich zu. Die für diese Leistungen zuständigen Gemeinden müssen jedoch gleichzeitig ihre Ausgaben kürzen. Die vorliegende Untersuchung zeigt, dass die (sozialen) Renditen für Schuldenmanagement im Durchschnitt doppelt so hoch wie ihre Kosten sind. Dieser Vorteil zeigt sich insbesondere in den Bereichen Sozialleistungen und Wohnen. Da Schulden ein Grund für Arbeitgeber sind, nicht neu einzustellen oder Arbeitsverträge fortzuführen, führt das Schuldenmanagement zu einer Erhöhung der Chancen für (bleibende) Anstellungen und damit gleichzeitig auch zu einer Reduzierung von Kosten im Zusammenhang mit Arbeitslosigkeit und Sozialleistungen. Da Wohngenossenschaften große Summen für Zwangsräumungen ausgeben, führt die Vermeidung von Räumungen mittels Schuldenmanagement auch zu einer Reduzierung der Kosten in diesem Bereich.

Die Ratio zwischen Kosten und Nutzen wird nur teilweise von der Qualität der Serviceleistung beeinflusst. Soziale Strukturen bieten eine bessere Erklärung, führen sozial schwächere Strukturen doch zu größerem Nutzen. Die vorliegenden Ergebnisse basieren auf umfangreichen Untersuchungen individueller Fälle sowie Sachverständigeninterviews. Nur direkte „wenn-dann“-Folgen wurden berücksichtigt. Das bedeutet, dass in Wirklichkeit die Kosten-Nutzen Bilanz sogar noch vorteilhafter sein könnte. Gemeinden sollten daher bei der Streichung von Schuldenmanagementserviceleistungen vorsichtig sein. Auf der anderen Seite könnte die Verlinkung zwischen Schuldenmanagement und Sozialleistungen sowie die Zusammenarbeit mit Wohngenossenschaften Gelegenheiten für die Co-Finanzierungen von Schuldenmanagementserviceleistungen eröffnen.

The vast majority of municipalities in the Netherlands carry out private debt management. On the one hand, this service is performed by municipalities themselves; on the other hand, municipalities purchase the service from privately owned organizations. The context in which debt management in the Netherlands takes place is dynamic.¹ In recent years, the number of applications for debt

1 Within the period 2007-2010, members of the Nederlandse Vereniging voor Volkskrediet (NVVK) observed an increase of the average number of creditors per debt package from 13 to 16, as well as an increase of the number of applications from 47,500 to 78,986 (taken from the

management in most municipalities increased by 10 per cent each year. Besides the increasing number of applications, debt packages of debtors seeking help are becoming more complex and include multiple problems. It is in this context that municipalities currently decide whether and, if so, how much they are going to cut back on their debt management services. Councillors, directors of social services, and other decision-makers in policy search for an answer to the question: what is the return on debt management? Extensive research based on empirical data shows that debt management does pay off. The costs appear to be lower than the benefits, thus a cutback will cost money rather than make money.

1. The Return on Debt Management Is, on Average, Twice as High as the Cost

To determine whether the benefits of debt management outweigh its costs, we performed a local cost-benefit analysis in five carefully selected municipalities. Three out of the five selected municipal departments and/or organizations work for smaller regional municipalities. The results of the study are therefore representative of the Netherlands. The main conclusion of this research is that the return on debt management is, on average, twice as high as the costs spent by municipalities. On average, the surveyed organizations spend EUR 1.4 million per 100,000 residents on debt management. This resulted in an average saving in costs of 3.3 million on other policy areas. In the five separate cost-benefit analyses, the return ranged from a ratio of EUR 1:1.7 to EUR 1:12.6. This means that the municipality with the lowest cost-benefit ratio saved EUR 1.70 for each euro spent on debt management on a number of other identifiable places and/or policy areas. In short, the costs of debt management are lower than the avoided costs on other areas. Table 1 summarizes the relationship between costs and benefits and the areas where there are benefits (read: costs avoided).

Table 1 Summary Costs and Benefits of Debt Management in Euros per 100,000 Residents in Respect of Which the Average Benefits Are Posted in Descending Amounts

	<i>On Average</i>	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Costs	1,405,000	1,169,000	1,591,000
Benefits	3,260,000	2,727,000	3,864,000
Avoided costs welfare benefits	1,442,000	1,133,000	1,886,000
Avoided costs unemployment benefits	586,000	434,000	664,000

annual statistics reports NVVK 2011 and 2008). The NVVK constitutes the professional organization for credit counseling and social lending in the Netherlands.

	<i>On Average</i>	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Avoided costs homelessness (health and safety)	509,000	279,000	913,000
Avoided costs evictions	396,000	215,000	811,000
Avoided costs social care	169,000	92,000	317,000
Avoided costs disconnecting gas, water, and electricity	94,000	47,000	179,000
Avoided costs social work	31,000	18,000	47,000
Avoided costs mental health care	24,000	15,000	39,000
Avoided costs housing corporations deferred payment	9,000	5,000	12,000

2. Social Welfare Expenditures and Housing Are the Main Areas that Benefit

An analysis of the benefits of debt management shows a significant saving of costs on social welfare expenditures (e.g., unemployment benefits and welfare) and housing. The saving in costs on welfare constitutes the largest saving. Adverting these costs has an immediate positive effect on the municipal budget. There are also benefits that are not directly related to the municipal budget. The Department of Employment and Housing Corporations benefit most from the activities of municipal debt management.

3. Social Welfare Benefits Significantly

Through file analyses, in which we connected data from clients of social security services and debt management agencies, we examined whether an offer of debt management affected the average duration of social welfare. This appears to be the case. The benefit period of the unemployed who used debt management appeared 4 to 12 months shorter. Given that an unemployment benefit costs a municipality EUR 17,800 per year (including execution costs) and, on average, 36 per cent of debtors seeking help receive such a benefit, the avoided costs of debt management on social welfare are high. Our calculations assume the most conservative estimates (four months). This results in an average benefit of EUR 1,285,000 per 100,000 residents for each of the five municipalities. If we had assumed an average reduction of the duration of social welfare benefits by 12 months, then this would have led to savings up to a stunning EUR 3,854,000 per 100,000 residents.

A substantial group among the applicants for debt management have earned income. In this group, debt management also contributes to the prevention of costs. Employers often find it unpleasant when creditors hold an attachment of earnings order against their employees. An attachment means more work and sometimes it even is the reason for not renewing a temporary contract. In addition, the psychological pressure of having a debt could lead to loss of one's job. The prevention of further escalation of debt and loss of jobs through debt management constitutes a benefit of EUR 705,000 per 100,000 residents. This number is based on the estimates of the consultants involved in the debtors whose files we examined. Eighty per cent of these benefits will accrue to the employment office associated with less granting of unemployment benefits. The remaining 20 per cent covers welfare benefits.

4. Housing Also Benefits Significantly

A second important benefit of debt management is that it saves costs in the field of housing. Seventy-seven per cent of the applicants for debt management in the Netherlands live in social housing. More than half of this group are behind on their rent payments. The first thing housing corporations do in cases of rent arrears is negotiating a payment arrangement. If there are not only rent arrears but also many other debts, such an arrangement often does not have the desired effect. Through debt management, payment problems can be solved, which leads to less payment arrangements. The cost of a paying arrangement averages some EUR 45, resulting in an avoided cost of around EUR 9,000 per 100,000 residents.

If tenants are unable to repay arrears, housing corporations can carry out an eviction. The costs housing corporations make for executing an eviction ranges from about EUR 5,000 to EUR 7,000. Debt management organizations perform crisis interventions to prevent evictions. In certain municipalities, these organizations come to an agreement (covenant) with housing corporations to avoid eviction. In 2010, 639 evictions were prevented in the five municipalities surveyed. This yields an average of EUR 396,000 per 100,000 residents in benefits. This relates only to costs spend by housing corporations. Expenditures for police and municipal spending are not included in these figures. The amount includes enforcement costs, collection fees and legal costs, the costs for booking, evictors, changing the locks, moving and storage fees, and costs for notices of default.

5. What Does This Cost-Benefit Analysis of Debt Management Teaches Us?

The most important lesson is that debt management pays off. The costs are lower than the benefits, which induces us to reflect on cutbacks in debt management services. By explicitly examining those benefits of debt management related to the municipal budget and those that are not, municipalities can draw two conclusions. First, they can conclude that a cutback in their budget will not lead to the

intended reduction in expenditure. Furthermore, it seems meaningful to examine the possibilities for co-financing by those that derive considerable benefit from municipal debt management services.

On a less abstract level, the results lead to two different conclusions. First, it seems advisable to cross-link the execution of unemployment benefits, and in particular welfare payment, to that of debt management services. Forcing people who receive unemployment or welfare benefits to tackle their burden of debt contributes to a faster outflow from welfare. This happens partly because dissolved debt is considered an incentive for people to work (again) or to work more, but also because employers do not want employees who are involved in a great deal of work, due to seizure of wages or high absentee rates caused by psychosocial problems. In most debt situations in which debtors receive unemployment benefit or social welfare, a closer cooperation between paying agencies and organizations providing debt management is possible (and desired). If it appears that debt problems lead to a longer average duration of benefit payments (which this research indicates), then it is obvious that we should try to affect the behaviour of beneficiaries in a way that leads to an active and effective job search as well as the changing of their spending habits and paying off debt.

Second, the results of this study prompts municipalities to have a dialogue with, among others, housing corporations. It seems worthwhile to examine the possibilities for co-financing debt management; now, it appears that besides the municipality also others greatly benefit from it.

6. Are Municipalities with Higher Benefits Doing a Better Job?

For this study, we performed a local cost-benefit analysis in five municipalities. In addition to the preceding conclusions, this research also leads to the insight that there are considerable local differences in the cost-benefit ratio. The fact that the ratio between the costs and benefits within a municipality is favourable does not automatically mean that the municipality performs the 'best' debt management service. The ratio between the costs and benefits is, in fact, only partly influenced by the quality of execution. In addition to variables such as the extent to which a municipality provides customized debt management, the quality of debt counsellors, and the type of products and services executed, there are other important variables that influence the cost-benefit ratio. Consider in this context, for example, the social structure. Generally speaking, a weaker social structure leads to a higher ratio between the costs and benefits (think of the percentage of benefit claimants, poorly skilled, lone parent families and/or single persons, etc.). Other key variables are the degree to which a municipality bet on early detection of debt problems and invest in good cooperation with chain partners and creditors.

In short, municipalities themselves have a significant impact on their local cost-benefit ratio of debt management, but the municipality with the 'best' value for money does not necessarily perform the best!

7. An Approach Based on Empirical Data Leads to Reliable Results

The risk of a cost-benefit analysis is that the results are based on rough estimates at the expense of reliability. The value of such an analysis therefore depends on the accuracy of the individual cost and benefit estimates. By adding an approach in which only empirical-based information was used, the risk of an overestimation was limited to a minimum. As a cost-benefit analysis requires a thoughtful approach, we explain in this last section the most characteristic elements of this approach. These are important pillars of the reliability of our results.

8. Combining Extensive File Research with Interviews

Through an extensive chart review, and combining it with interviews, we have a good picture of the effect of debt management, not in the sense of the number of debt arrangements but in the sense of its added value. If tomorrow all debt counsellors were to be sent home, there are basically three distinct groups of debtors:

- (1) debtors who nevertheless succeed in solving their debt situation;
- (2) debtors wherein the situation does not improve but also does not deteriorate; and
- (3) debtors whose problems grow bigger.

There is a correlation between the magnitude of the problem and how a debt situation develops. However, not every debt situation automatically escalates from a certain amount of debt. To prevent the assumption that severe debt problems automatically lead to costs in other places and situations concerning light debt problems never escalate, we conducted interviews with debt counsellors. By asking them for their expectations in individual files, we could examine how these situations may develop. By combining data on, for example, the amount of debt or the source of income, with experiences debt counsellors had with these debtors, we were able to draw a well-considered prediction. We were thus able to reckon with an expectation, in which both the magnitude of the debt problem and the ability of the debtor and the quality of their social network, etc., were taken into account.

9. Only Direct If-Then Connections

Financial problems and intangible issues are often related. To ensure a pure estimate of the costs and benefits, we have only considered direct if-then relationships. For example, if debt management leads to an agreement with a housing corporation that intends to evict someone due to arrears, the eviction is identifiably prevented by the intervention of debt management. The complex causalities that we know exist are not included. This helps us to keep our calculations pure and ensures that the benefits we designate are real. The above

approach also means that the expected benefits can be considered as minimal benefits.

We only considered areas with benefits for which we could collect empirical (and therefore reliable) material. In the analysis, we have worked with the following benefit areas: social care, execution of unemployment benefits and welfare benefits, housing corporations, energy companies, effects of homelessness in respect of care and safety, social work, and mental health care. Working with this selection of areas has led us to ignoring a number of places with (possible) benefits. We had several reasons for this. Sometimes we knew in advance that we could not find factual information. In other cases, we knew it was only a minor benefit, and instead, we judged that the effort to map out these benefits would not outweigh the research effort. Important municipal/public benefit areas that we deliberately not included in this study are the domain of policing and justice, health, school dropout and absenteeism at work, and all benefits in the market such as the cost for creditors due to unresolved debt situations and inefficient collection costs. Thus, it is important to note that the above definition also leads to a minimum cost-benefit ratio. In reality, the benefits are greater.

10. Computational Tool for Municipalities

In addition to the above research, a computational tool was developed to give municipalities the opportunity to calculate their local cost-benefit ratio of debt management.

List of Contributors

THOMAS KADNER GRAZIANO

Dr. iur., LL.M. (Harv.)
Professor of Law/Professeur ordinaire
Faculty of Law, University of Geneva
Department of Private International Law
(INPRI)
40, boulevard du Pont-d'Arve
CH-1211 Geneva 4
Switzerland
Tel.: +41 22 379 85 07
Fax: +41 22 379 84 63
E-mail: Thomas.Kadner@unige.ch

PETER ROTT

Associate Professor
Faculty of Law, University of Copenhagen
Studiestraede 6
1455 Copenhagen K
Denmark
E-mail: Peter.Rott@jur.ku.dk

JOSEPH SPOONER

Ph.D. Candidate, Teaching Fellow
Faculty of Laws, University College
London
Bentham House, Endsleigh Gardens
London WC1H 0EG
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 (0)7758616605 E-mail:
joseph.spooner.10@ucl.ac.uk
Website: [http://www.ucl.ac.uk/laws/
experts/selfservice/index.php?id=9](http://www.ucl.ac.uk/laws/experts/selfservice/index.php?id=9)

ANNINA H. PERSSON

Professor of Private Law
Department of Law, Psychology and
Social Studies, Örebro University
Sweden
E-mail: ahp@live.se
annina.persson@oru.se

ANN-SOFIE HENRIKSON

Doctoral candidate of Private Law
Department of Law, Umeå University
Sweden
E-mail: ann-sofie.henrikson@jus.umu.se

KARIN LUNDSTRÖM

Doctoral candidate of Private Law
Department of Law, Psychology and
Social Studies, Örebro University
Sweden
E-mail: karin.lundstrom@oru.se

NADJA JUNG MANN

Law Professor at the University of
Applied Sciences Utrecht in the
Netherlands
Management Consultant at Social Force
Heidelberglaan 7
3508 AJ Utrecht
The Netherlands
E-mail: nadja.jungmann@hu.nl

MARC ANDERSON

Researcher and Teacher
University of Applied Sciences Utrecht in
the Netherlands
Hogeschool Utrecht
Heidelberglaan 7
Postbus 85397 - 3508 AJ Utrecht
The Netherlands
E-mail: marc.anderson@hu.nl

THOMAS HOFFMANN

Dr iur., LL.M.
DAAD-Lecturer in Law
Faculty of Law,
University of Tartu,
Näituse 13a, 50409 Tartu,
Estonia
Tel.: +372 5919 6614
E-mail: thomas.hoffmann@ut.ee
Website: www.rechtintartu.ee

ALEKSEI KELLI
Dr. iur.
Docent of Intellectual Property Law,
University of Tartu,
Estonia
E-mail: aleksei.kelli@ut.ee

AGE VÄRV
LL.M.
Lecturer of Civil Law,
University of Tartu,
Estonia
E-mail: age.varv@ut.ee

ARTHUR SALOMONS
Professor of Private Law
Oudemanhuispoort 4-6
1012 CN Amsterdam
Kamernummer: B104
The Netherlands
Tel.: 0205253489
Tel.: 0205253519
E-mail: A.F.Salomons@uva.nl

LUCIA MORRA
Ph.D., Lecturer in Logic and Philosophy
of Science
University of Torino
Italy
E-mail: lucia.morra@unito.it

BARBARA PASA
Associate Professor of Private
Comparative Law
University of Torino
Department of Law
Lungo Dora Siena 100,
Torino
Italy
E-mail: barbara.pasa@unito.it

RODRIGO MOMBERG
Honorary Lecturer, Molengraaff Institute
of Private Law, Utrecht University.
Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law,
Austral University, Chile
Janskerkhof 12
3512 BL Utrecht
The Netherlands
E-mail: r.momberg@uu.nl

MEL KENNY
Professor of Consumer and Commercial
Law
De Montfort University
Faculty of Business and Law
Hugh Aston Building
Leicester LE1 9BH
United Kingdom
E-mail: mkenny@dmu.ac.uk

PAUL J. DU PLESSIS
Senior Lecturer in Civil Law and Legal
History
School of Law
University of Edinburgh
Old College
South Bridge
Edinburgh EH8 9YL
United Kingdom
Tel.: 0131-650-9701 Fax: 0131-650-6317
E-mail: p.duplessis@ed.ac.uk

EUROPEAN REVIEW OF PRIVATE LAW REVUE EUROPÉENNE DE DROIT PRIVÉ EUROPÄISCHE ZEITSCHRIFT FÜR PRIVATRECHT

Guidelines for authors

The European Review of Private Law aims to provide a forum which facilitates the development of European Private Law. It publishes work of interest to academics and practitioners across European boundaries. Comparative work in any field of private law is welcomed. The journal deals especially with comparative case law. Work focusing on one jurisdiction alone is accepted, provided it has a strong cross-border interest.

The Review requires the submission of manuscripts by e-mail attachment, preferably in Word. Please do not forget to add your complete mailing address, telephone number, fax number and/or e-mail address when you submit your manuscript.

Manuscripts should be written in standard English, French or German.

Directives pour les Auteurs

La Revue européenne de droit privé a pour objectif de faciliter, par la constitution d'un forum, la mise au point d'un Droit Privé Européen. Elle publie des articles susceptibles d'intéresser aussi bien l'universitaire que le praticien, sur un plan européen. Nous serons heureux d'ouvrir nos pages aux travaux comparatifs dans tout domaine du droit privé. La Revue est consacrée en particulier à l'étude comparée de la jurisprudence. Les travaux concentrés sur une seule juridiction sont admissibles, à condition de présenter un intérêt dépassant les frontières.

Nous souhaitons recevoir les textes par courrier électronique, de préférence en Word. Ajoutez l'adresse postale complète et le numéro de téléphone de l'auteur, un numéro de télécopie et l'adresse électronique.

Les textes doivent être rédigés en langue anglaise, française ou allemande standard.

Leitfaden für Autoren

Die Europäische Zeitschrift für Privatrecht will ein Forum bieten, um die Entwicklung des europäischen Zivilrechts zu fördern. Sie veröffentlicht Arbeiten, die für Akademiker und Juristen in ganz Europa grenzüberschreitend von Interesse sind. Vergleichende Untersuchungen aus jedem Bereich des Zivilrechts sind willkommen. Die Zeitschrift befasst sich insbesondere mit vergleichender Rechtsprechung. Artikel, die sich auf ein einziges Hoheitsgebiet konzentrieren, können angenommen werden, wenn sie von besonderem grenzüberschreitenden Interesse sind. Wir möchten ihre Beiträge per E-Mail erhalten und bevorzugen Dateien in Word. Bitte geben Sie ihre Anschrift, Telefonnummer, Telefaxnummer und/oder E-Mailadresse an.

Manuskripte sind in korrektem Englisch, Französisch oder Deutsch zu verfassen.

Style guide

A style guide for contributors can be found in online at <http://www.kluwerlawonline.com/europeanreviewofprivatelaw>.

Index

An annual index will be published in issue No. 6 of each volume.